The Role of Patient Support Networks in Cancer Outcomes

Justin M. Yopp, PhD

Clinical Psychologist, Comprehensive Cancer Support Program Associate Professor, Department of Psychiatry University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill









1

Disclosure

The Group: Seven Widowed Fathers
Reimagine Life

(Oxford University Press)





Outline

- I. Describe the two types of social support networks
- II. Present key findings from social support network research
- III. Share impact of support from diagnosis, treatment, survivorship
- IV. Discuss fostering social support networks for cancer patients, caregivers, bereaved





3

Social Support Networks

Why are these important?

General state of research; what is offered to patients





Social Support Networks

- Research studies have found that better social support is related to:
 - Lower psychosocial distress
 - Better treatment adherence
 - Better grasp of illness- & treatment-related info
 - Facilitate resilience
 - Engendering sense of empowerment
 - Coping with death and dying





5

Social Support Networks

- There are different theories of how social support leads to better outcomes.
- Wortman's theory of interpersonal relationships
 - Support helps patients cope with uncertainty brought about by cancer diagnosis; support = less uncertainty and reduces compounded distress





Two Broad Categories

- 1. Embedded support networks
 - Family, spouses, friends, neighbors
 - Networks that are established at time of diagnosis
- 2. Peer support networks
 - One-on-one peer support
 - Peer group support





7

Embedded Support

- Robust embedded social support networks are related to patient's psychosocial adaptation and reducing distress
- Correlation between presence of a dedicated partner/advocate and survival rates
- Providers should encourage/amplify patients' use of embedded networks





Peer Support

- Peer support may come in different forms.
 The most common being one-to-one peer support programs.
- Often involves a cancer survivor offering instrumental and emotional support soon after diagnosis and through treatment.
- Peers may be uniquely situated to provide support that resonates with the patient.





q

Peer Support

- Peer support programs are generally preferred by health care systems because of their perceived benefits and relatively low cost to maintain.
- Several meta-analyses have examined benefits of one-to-one peer support studies.
- Results are generally encouraging, if not overwhelmingly positive.





Specific Population Considerations

- Social support networks for the following populations:
 - Patients w/ breast or prostate cancer (most commonly studied)
 - African-Americans
 - Adolescents & young adults
 - Parents of children with cancer
 - Caregivers
 - Bereaved family members





11

Peer support at N.C. Cancer Hospital

- Peer navigation
- Caregiver Conversations
 - Weekly meetings
- Support groups
- Widowed Parent peer support groups







13



National Peer Support

- Imerman Angels (<u>imermanangels.org</u>)
- First Descents (<u>firstdescents.org</u>)



UNC CANCER CARE

15

What next?

Peer support at your location

UNC

M UNC

References

- Andrykowski, M., Lykins, E., & Floyd, A. (2008). Psychological health in cancer survivors. Seminars in Oncology Nursing, 24, 193-201.
- Capistrant, B, Lesher, L. et al. (2018). Social support and health-related quality of life among gay and bisexual men with prostate cancer. Oncology Nursing Forum, 45, 439-455.
- Cohen, S. & Wills, T. (1985). Stress, social support, and the buffering hypothesis. Psychological Bulletin, 98, 310-357.
- Gage-Bouchard, E., LaValley, S., Panagakis, C., & Shelton, R. (2015). The architecture of support: The activation of preexisting ties and formation of new ties for tailored support. Social Science & Medicine, 134, 59-65.
- Giese-Davis, J. et al (2006). The effect of peer counseling on quality of life following diagnosis of breast cancer: an observational study. Psycho-Oncology, 15, 1014-1022.
- Hauken, M. & Larsen, T. (2019). Young adult cancer patients' experiences of private social network support during cancer treatment. Journal of Clinical Nursing, 28.
- Hauken, M., Pereira, M., & Senneseth, M. (2018). The effects of children's anxiety and quality of life of a psychoeducational program for families living with parental cancer and their network. Cancer Nursing, 41, 473-483.







17

References

- Hoey, L., Ieropoli, S., White. V., & Jefford, M. (2008). Systematic review of peer-support programs for people with cancer. Patient Education and Counseling, 70, 1783-1788.
- Kamen, C, Mustain, K et al. (2015). The association between partner support and psychological distress among prostate cancer survivors in a nationwide study. Journal of Cancer Survivorship, 9, 492-499.
- Lee, M. & Suh, S-R. (2018). Effects of peer-led interventions for patients with cancer: A Meta-Analysis. Oncology Nursing Forum, 45, 1-20.
- Lorig, K. & Holman. H. (2003). Self-management education: History, definition, outcomes, and mechanisms. Annals of Behavioral Medicine, 26, 1-7.
- Macvean, M., White, W., & Sanson-Fisher, R. (2008). One-to-one volunteer support programs for people with cancer: A review of the literature. Patient Education & Counseling, 70, 10-24.
- Meyer, A, Coroiu, A., Korner, A. (2014). One-to-one peer support in cancer care: A review of scholarship published between 2007 and 2014. European Journal of Cancer Care, 24, 299-312.
- Wortman C. & Dunkel-Schetter, C. (1979). Interpersonal relationships and cancer: A theoretical analysis. Journal of Social Issues, 35, 120-155.



